

Shadow Executive, 17 March 2009-03-12

Report SC1 – BEaR Project, page SC1.13, replace table 2 with the following:

Table 2 – Level 3 criteria and associated weightings

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	ISOS	ISDS	ISFT
Quality	Technical	Overall Technical Solution	9 - 12%	9.0%	9.0%
		Works & Commissioning	3.6 - 4.8%	3.6%	3.6%
		Planning & Permitting	5.4 - 7.2%	5.4%	5.4%
		Environmental Performance	9 - 12%	9.0%	9.0%
		Service Operations	7.2 - 9.6%	7.2%	7.2%
		Management Systems	1.8 - 2.4%	1.8%	1.8%
		Sub-Total Technical	36 - 48%	36.0%	36.0%
	Funding & Commercial	Financial Robustness	6 - 8%	3.0%	1.5%
		Deliverability of Funding Packages	6 - 8%	5.0%	5.0%
		Risk Mitigation	2.4 - 3.2%	2.0%	3.5%
		Payment Mechanism	3.6 - 4.8%	5.0%	5.0%
		Sub Total - Funding & Commercial	18 - 24%	15.0%	15.0%
	Legal & Contractual	Compliance with SoPC4 and DEFRA Derogations	1.8 - 2.4%	2.7%	2.7%
		Compliance with BEaR Project Agreement & Schedules	3.6 - 4.8%	5.4%	5.4%
		Contract Structure/Consortium Support	0.6 - 0.8%	0.9%	0.9%
		Sub Total - Legal & Contractual	6 - 8%	9.0%	9.0%
Sub Total - Quality			60.0%	60.0%	60.0%
Price	Price & Affordability	Not applicable (No Level 3 Price Criteria)			
	Sensitivity Testing				
	Payment Profile				
Sub Total - Price		40%			

To follow, a plain English explanation of how environmental performance scores across a range of criteria.

Report SD1 - Luton Dunstable Busway, Appendix A, page 4, para 4, change "1st April 2008" to "1st April 2009".